Jeremy Bentham’s Panopticon
Michel Foucault on Disciplinary Power

- Power is diffuse and practiced by everyone; think monitorial citizenship

- Because power is not sovereignly held in people, but can be part of structures like the panopticon, the application of power is automatic and more efficient (especially when internalized); think “code is law”

- Value to the state is self-discipline: ideally, disciplinary power produces “a docile, regulated, and predictable body.” (21)
Ethan Zuckerman on Normalizing Surveillance

• NSA apathy; do we expect surveillance?

• “Surveillant Assemblage,” not panopticon

• This is not just CCTV and PRISM but all the bugs, cookies, and trackers used online
Whither Sousveillance?

- Personal Surveillance; Inverse Surveillance

- “The more powerful you are, the more surveillance you should be subject to. The less powerful you are, the more surveillance you should be protected from.” (Sunil Abraham)
Berkman Center on How Censorship Works

- Censorship in places like China function on *self-discipline*
- Big companies can censor apps / content when it is inconvenient
  - Take-down notices are easier to automatically comply with, and this puts the burden of proof on the uploader
Community guidelines are the shifting rules and justifications for keeping and removing content on a platform.

What is a platform’s responsibility to its users? to countries? to civil rights? to shareholders?

Could a third party like CHILLING EFFECTS serve a role as mediator and accountant?